

**CAREER INTELLIGENCE SERIES**

# The Career Intelligence Protocol

Nine Questions Serious  
Professionals Must Ask  
Before Choosing Their  
Next Career Move



# The Career Intelligence Protocol

Nine Questions Serious Professionals Must Ask Before Choosing Their Next Career Move



Contact

Author

Dr. olumuyiwa A. Oludayo , MCIPM, FITD

[muyiwa@nathanleadgate.com](mailto:muyiwa@nathanleadgate.com)



[www.muyiwaoludayo.com](http://www.muyiwaoludayo.com)



[www.nathanleadgate.com](http://www.nathanleadgate.com)

## About Nathan Leadgate

Nathan Leadgate is a diagnostic-led organisational management consulting firm that works with boards, executive teams, and institutions to convert capability into measurable business performance. evidence, diagnosis, and structure. Nathan Leadgate helps organisations move from effort to results. We do not just support organisations. We build systems that consistently produce performance

### Copyright Standards

This document contains proprietary research, copyrighted and trademarked materials of Nathan Leadgate. Accordingly, international and domestic laws and penalties guaranteeing patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret protection safeguard the ideas, concepts and recommendations related within this document.

The materials contained in this document and/or the document itself may be downloaded and/or copied provided that all copies retain the copyright, trademark and any other proprietary notices contained on the materials and/or document. No changes may be made to this document without the express written permission of Nathan Leadgate.

Any reference whatsoever to this document, in whole or in part, on any webpage must provide a link back to the original document in its entirety. Except as expressly provided herein, the transmission of this material shall not be construed to grant a license of any type under any patents, copyright or trademarks owned or controlled by Nathan Leadgate.

The Nathan Leadgate Insights items are Nathan Leadgate proprietary information and are protected by law. You may not administer a survey with the Nathan Leadgate items or reproduce them without written consent from Nathan Leadgate.

Nathan Leadgate Insights are trademarks of Nathan Leadgate. All other trademarks and copyrights are property of their respective owners

---

## About This Article

This article presents a practical protocol for evidence-led career decision-making. It argues that serious professionals should not choose their next career move by title attraction, casual interest, peer movement, salary gossip, certification fashion or social-media job trends. These signals may point to useful information, but they are not strong enough to carry a serious career decision on their own.

The article introduces The Career Intelligence Protocol, a nine-question decision tool designed to help professionals assess the strength of a career option before committing to a role, sector, certification, postgraduate programme, consulting niche, migration route, technical specialisation or leadership pathway.

The protocol is built on one central premise: durable career advantage is found where personal capability is connected to institutional consequence. The strongest career choices are often located where organisations are losing money, customers are losing confidence, regulators are increasing scrutiny, systems are failing, technology is changing work economics, public trust is weakening, capability gaps are damaging execution, nations are making long-term investments and shortages are persistent across countries and sectors.

This article is written for professionals, HR leaders, career advisers, professional bodies, learning institutions, employers, policymakers and institutional leaders who need a disciplined way to interpret career opportunity in a labour market shaped by technology acceleration, skills instability, productivity pressure, regulatory expansion, trust deficits, demographic change, uneven job quality and persistent competence scarcity.

The article serves as a practical companion to broader Nathan Leadgate Insights conversations on labour-market signals, professional relevance and scarcity-based career positioning. Its emphasis is application. It helps the reader move from labour-market interpretation to personal career judgement. The article uses report-style conventions: executive summary, thematic chapters, diagnostic questions, a scoring tool and APA-style references.

---

# Methodological Note

This article uses a professional-practice synthesis method. It draws from labour-market research, employer surveys, workforce-shortage evidence, AI and technology reports, public-trust research, global economic outlooks, cyber-risk evidence, regulatory developments and professional-practice interpretation.

The central method is diagnostic. The article treats career opportunity as something to be tested through disciplined questions rather than assumed through popularity. It therefore examines the kinds of pressures that usually create durable demand for competent professionals: financial loss, customer dissatisfaction, regulatory exposure, system failure, technology disruption, trust erosion, execution weakness, national investment and persistent shortage.

The article does not present career intelligence as prediction. It presents it as disciplined interpretation. The aim is to help professionals read where institutions are under pressure and position their capability where contribution will matter.

The article also recognises the limits of general labour-market advice. A career signal may carry different meaning across geographies, sectors, professional levels and institutional contexts. A shortage in one country may be shaped by ageing, while a shortage in another may be shaped by weak education-to-work transition, migration, informality, poor compensation or limited institutional capacity. A popular role in one sector may carry strategic value, while the same title in another organisation may have weak authority and limited exposure.

For this reason, The Career Intelligence Protocol should be used as a decision aid, not as a mechanical formula. It helps professionals ask better questions before they invest time, money, identity and reputation into a career move.

## Why This conversation Matters

Career decisions have become more consequential. A professional may spend years acquiring a qualification that the market does not strongly value. Another may change jobs because a title sounds attractive, only to discover that the role is weakly connected to institutional need. A third may follow a popular digital trend without building the judgement, domain depth or evidence required for trusted performance.

The labour market has become noisier. Job lists circulate daily. New titles appear quickly. Salary claims travel faster than the evidence behind them. Artificial intelligence has intensified anxiety about relevance. Employers are revising expectations. Regulators are raising standards. Customers are less patient with weak service. Public trust has become fragile. Organisations want productivity, resilience and stronger execution. Nations are investing in energy, infrastructure, technology, health, education, food systems and institutional capability.

The professional who wants to remain relevant needs more than ambition. Such a professional needs a disciplined way of asking better questions before making career decisions.

This is the purpose of The Career Intelligence Protocol.

The protocol rests on a simple premise. Strong careers are built where personal capability meets institutional consequence. The professional task is to identify where consequences are rising, where capability is scarce and where credible contribution will be trusted.

The modern professional must learn to read before moving.



— Dr. Olumuyiwa A. Oludayo

---

## Key Lenses in This Publication

Lens	Meaning for career decision-making
Career intelligence	The disciplined ability to interpret labour-market and institutional signals before making career choices
Institutional consequence	The value, risk, cost, trust or failure point attached to a career option
Professional usefulness	The degree to which a professional's capability helps solve consequential problems
Evidence-led positioning	Career movement based on verifiable demand, capability scarcity and value relevance
False signals	Attractive but weak indicators such as title glamour, social-media trends and credentials without applied competence
Capability maturity	The depth of competence built through learning, practice, judgement, exposure and responsibility
Career protocol	A repeatable decision method for testing the strength of a career move

# Table of Content

Executive Summary

Chapter 1. Why Career Decisions Need Better Questions

Chapter 2. Career Intelligence as a Professional Discipline

Chapter 3. The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

Chapter 4. How to Use the Protocol Before Choosing a Career Move

Chapter 5. Weak Signals in Career Decision-Making

Chapter 6. The Career Intelligence Scorecard

Chapter 7. Implications for Professionals, Employers, Professional Bodies and Learning Institutions

Closing Thought

References

# Executive Summary

Career decisions now require a more disciplined form of intelligence. The contemporary labour market is being shaped by artificial intelligence, skills instability, economic pressure, demographic divergence, public-trust concerns, regulation, cybersecurity risk, energy transition, health-system strain, education quality, infrastructure needs and persistent talent shortages.

These forces have made career planning more demanding. Professionals can no longer rely safely on job-title popularity, social-media predictions, peer movement, credential accumulation or personal interest alone. A career option becomes stronger when it is connected to institutional pressure, scarce capability, measurable value and durable demand.

Global evidence supports this shift. The World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report 2025 projects that job disruption will affect 22 per cent of jobs by 2030, with 170 million new roles created, 92 million displaced and a net increase of 78 million roles (World Economic Forum, 2025). ManpowerGroup's 2026 Global Talent Shortage Survey reports that 72 per cent of employers across 41 countries have difficulty finding the talent they need (ManpowerGroup, 2026). PwC's 2026 Global AI Jobs Barometer reports that skills in the most AI-exposed jobs are changing more than twice as fast as skills in the least AI-exposed jobs, with new tasks in AI-exposed roles becoming more likely to require empathy, judgement and creativity (PricewaterhouseCoopers, 2026). Gallup's 2026 workplace research reports global employee engagement at 20 per cent in 2025, with low engagement costing the world economy an estimated US\$10 trillion in lost productivity (Gallup, 2026). The International Labour Organization projects global unemployment at 4.9 per cent in 2026, while 2.1 billion workers remain in informal employment and around 284 million workers live in extreme working poverty (International Labour Organization, 2026).

These signals show a labour market with opportunity and strain. Work exists. Capability remains uneven. Technology is redesigning task content. Institutions are under pressure to improve productivity, protect trust, manage risk, comply with regulation and deliver value with fewer excuses.

## Executive Summary

This article introduces **The Career Intelligence Protocol** as a practical decision tool. The protocol is built around nine questions:

1. Where are organisations losing money?
2. Where are customers losing confidence?
3. Where are regulators increasing scrutiny?
4. Where are systems failing?
5. Where is technology changing the economics of work?
6. Where is public trust weakening?
7. Where is poor capability creating repeated execution failure?
8. Where are nations making long-term investments?
9. Where are shortages persistent across countries and sectors?

These questions help professionals assess whether a career option is close to value, risk, trust, technology, capability, investment and shortage. They also help professionals avoid weak signals. A trending role may have limited depth. A popular certification may not produce applied competence. A prestigious title may sit far from consequential work. A high-sounding career move may offer little evidence of durable demand.

The central message is practical. Serious professionals should interpret before they invest, test before they move and build capability where consequence is real.

# Why Career Decisions Need Better Questions

Many career decisions begin with weak questions.

A professional may ask what is trending, which certification looks impressive, which title sounds senior, which role appears to pay more, which field is gaining attention or which course others are taking. These questions may produce movement. They do not always produce intelligent positioning.

A professional can be active and still be poorly positioned. Activity becomes career progress when it is connected to the direction of institutional need. Learning becomes career investment when it strengthens capability that the market values. A title becomes meaningful when it gives the professional responsibility near consequential work. A certificate becomes useful when it produces evidence of performance.

The contemporary labour market punishes careless movement more quickly than previous labour markets. Technology is changing task content. Employers are revising productivity expectations. Regulators are asking harder questions. Customers are judging organisations publicly. Workers are rethinking meaning, security and mobility. Public institutions are under pressure to deliver. Economic uncertainty has made leaders more attentive to cost, value and risk. In such a market, career decisions require more than motivation. They require interpretation.

A serious professional must ask what a career move is connected to, what problem it prepares the professional to solve, which institution needs the capability, why the need may persist, what evidence shows that the demand is real, what level of capability is required, how long that capability will take to mature and what proof the professional can produce.

These questions change the quality of career thinking. They move the professional away from crowd behaviour and towards consequence.

# Why Career Decisions Need Better Questions

## Title attraction and its limits

Titles can be useful. They classify work, communicate responsibility and signal career level. They can also mislead.

A title may sound modern while the work remains shallow. Another may sound modest while carrying serious institutional value. Some attractive titles rise because organisations copy market language without having mature structures behind them. Others emerge because vendors, platforms or influencers popularise a phrase before the labour market has established stable demand.

The professional who follows title attraction may end up building identity around labels rather than capability. The stronger approach is to ask what the title is expected to deliver. Does it protect money? Does it improve trust? Does it reduce risk? Does it strengthen systems? Does it help customers? Does it build capability? Does it support technology adoption? Does it sit close to strategic investment?

A career should not be built around the sound of a title. It should be built around the value the title is trusted to carry.

## Social-media trends and career noise

Social media has made career information more accessible. It has also made career noise more persuasive. A role can appear powerful because many people are discussing it. A field can appear urgent because content creators repeatedly mention it. A certification can appear necessary because it is marketed aggressively.

Visibility is not the same as demand. Attention is not the same as shortage. Popularity is not the same as institutional consequence.

# Why Career Decisions Need Better Questions

Some trends reveal real demand. Artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, healthcare, data, energy, logistics, infrastructure, education, governance and workforce capability are examples of fields where evidence supports strong attention. Some other trends produce excitement without sufficient maturity, compensation logic, institutional investment or shortage durability.

Career intelligence helps professionals separate signal from noise.

## Interest and market discipline

Interest matters. A professional is more likely to sustain learning and excellence in a field that carries personal meaning. Yet interest alone is not a career strategy.

A person may love a field with weak demand. Another may enjoy work that is easily commoditised. A third may pursue an area of interest without developing the capability depth that institutions trust. Interest becomes powerful when it meets need. Passion becomes useful when it is disciplined by value.

The stronger professional question is: where can my interest be developed into capability that solves a consequential problem?

That is the entrance into career intelligence.

## The cost of careless career movement

Career movement carries cost. It consumes money, time, energy, reputation and opportunity. A professional who chooses wrongly may not only lose income. Such a professional may lose momentum, confidence and learning depth. A weak career move can also produce fragmentation. The CV begins to show activity without coherence. The professional narrative becomes difficult to explain. Employers and clients struggle to see the pattern of value.



# Career Intelligence as a Professional Discipline

Career intelligence is the disciplined ability to interpret labour-market, institutional and capability signals before making career decisions.

It is not prediction. It is not guesswork. It is not anxiety about the future. It is a professional discipline for reading where value is moving, where pressure is building and where competent contribution will command trust.

The intelligent professional studies the labour market as a field of meaning. Vacancies, wages, investment flows, regulatory reforms, technology adoption, customer complaints, public distrust, productivity concerns, migration patterns, talent shortages and national development plans all carry signals. They reveal what organisations and societies are struggling to solve.

A vacancy may reveal expansion. It may also reveal attrition, scarcity, regulatory pressure, poor workforce planning or new strategic priority. A wage premium may reveal scarcity, risk, technical depth or urgency. A new regulation may reveal social concern, institutional failure or rising accountability. A customer complaint trend may reveal weak systems. A national investment plan may reveal future demand for project managers, engineers, technologists, auditors, educators, regulators and workforce developers.

Career intelligence asks the professional to read these signals before choosing a move.

## The four movements of career intelligence

Career intelligence has four movements.

The first movement is **observation**. The professional watches where problems are becoming repeated, expensive or visible. This requires attention to reports, employer behaviour, customer experience, policy reforms, technology shifts, sector performance and institutional failures.

# Career Intelligence as a Professional Discipline

The second movement is **interpretation**. The professional asks what the observed signal means. A shortage may mean inadequate training. It may mean poor compensation. It may mean difficult working conditions. It may mean global competition for the same capability. It may mean that the capability required is slow to build.

The third movement is **positioning**. The professional decides where to build capability, seek exposure, change role, acquire certification, deepen practice or enter a new field.

The fourth movement is **proof**. The professional gathers evidence that their capability produces outcomes. The labour market increasingly rewards visible contribution. Claims are weaker than proof. Proof may appear in projects delivered, risks reduced, revenue improved, systems strengthened, teams developed, customers retained, processes redesigned, technologies implemented or standards improved.

Career intelligence therefore turns career planning from ambition into disciplined judgement.

## Career intelligence and institutional consequence

Professional value now concentrates around institutional consequence. Institutions reward people who can help them solve problems that matter. These problems may concern revenue, cost, productivity, customer trust, regulation, safety, cybersecurity, technology adoption, public service, energy reliability, health access, education quality, food security, infrastructure delivery or workforce capability.

A professional becomes more valuable when their work is close to these burdens and when their competence is trusted.

# Career Intelligence as a Professional Discipline

This does not mean every professional must work in a fashionable sector. It means every professional should understand the consequence attached to their work. An accountant who improves financial control may be more valuable than a strategy officer who produces impressive documents without execution. A customer-experience analyst who reduces churn may be more valuable than a brand executive who generates visibility without loyalty. A learning specialist who improves frontline capability may be more valuable than a trainer who delivers sessions without behavioural transfer.

Career intelligence directs the professional towards usefulness.

## The career-intelligent professional

The career-intelligent professional does not merely ask where jobs are available. Such a professional asks why those jobs exist.

A role may exist because the organisation is growing. It may exist because a system is broken. It may exist because previous incumbents failed. It may exist because regulation has become stricter. It may exist because technology has created new work. It may exist because leaders now recognise a risk they previously ignored.

The professional who understands the reason behind demand can prepare more intelligently. The person can identify the real problem, choose the right learning, ask better interview questions, build a stronger value proposition and produce more relevant evidence.

Career intelligence therefore strengthens both career choice and career performance. It helps a professional enter a role with sharper awareness of what the institution truly needs.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

The Career Intelligence Protocol is built around nine questions. These questions are designed to test whether a career option is connected to durable need. They should be used before choosing a new role, course, certification, sector, specialisation, consulting niche, postgraduate programme or migration route.

**Figure 1. The Career Intelligence Protocol**

Protocol question	Signal it reveals	Career meaning
Where are organisations losing money?	Value leakage, waste, fraud, inefficiency, cyber cost, poor productivity	Demand rises for people who can protect, recover or multiply value
Where are customers losing confidence?	Weak service, product failure, poor communication, trust erosion	Demand rises for people who can restore reliability and loyalty
Where are regulators increasing scrutiny?	Rising accountability, risk exposure, public concern	Demand rises for governance, compliance, risk and evidence capability
Where are systems failing?	Repeated execution gaps, process weakness, fragmented ownership	Demand rises for system thinkers and improvement professionals
Where is technology changing the economics of work?	Automation, AI, digital acceleration, task redesign	Demand rises for digitally fluent professionals with judgement
Where is public trust weakening?	Institutional credibility problems, stakeholder scepticism	Demand rises for ethical, transparent and reliable professionals
Where is poor capability creating repeated execution failure?	Weak learning, poor management, low competence maturity	Demand rises for capability builders and performance multipliers
Where are nations making long-term investments?	Capital allocation, policy priority, infrastructure direction	Demand rises around sectors with long investment runways
Where are shortages persistent across countries and sectors?	Structural scarcity, mobility potential, long-term demand	Demand rises for mature competence that cannot be produced quickly

These questions are not theoretical. They are decision instruments.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

## Question 1: Where are organisations losing money?

Money loss is one of the strongest signals of professional demand. Organisations invest where leakage threatens performance.

Loss may appear through failed projects, weak controls, fraud, cyber incidents, poor procurement, customer churn, operational waste, workforce disengagement, low productivity, bad data, regulatory fines, downtime, inventory errors, failed technology implementations or poor execution discipline.

The professional who can diagnose and reduce loss becomes valuable. This is why fields such as finance, internal control, audit, procurement, cybersecurity, operations excellence, project recovery, data analytics, productivity improvement and performance management remain important.

Cybersecurity illustrates the point clearly. IBM Security's 2025 Cost of a Data Breach Report places the global average cost of a data breach at US\$4.44 million (IBM Security, 2025). Even where breach costs decline because organisations improve detection and containment, the figure remains a signal of serious financial exposure. Cybersecurity is therefore not only a technical field. It is a financial-protection, trust-protection and continuity-protection field.

The issue is larger than finance. Every function has a money logic. HR decisions affect productivity, attrition and capability. Technology decisions affect cost, risk and speed. Customer service affects retention. Procurement affects margin. Operations affect throughput. Legal and compliance affect exposure. Strategy affects capital allocation.

A professional assessing a career move should ask: Does this option position me near a recognised value leakage? Can I develop capability that helps

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

organisations save money, protect assets, improve productivity or make better investment decisions?

Where loss is visible, serious capability will usually be needed.

## Question 2: Where are customers losing confidence?

Customer confidence is an economic asset. When customers lose confidence, organisations lose revenue, reputation and market permission.

Confidence weakens through poor service, unreliable products, hidden charges, slow complaint resolution, broken promises, data misuse, weak communication, inconsistent quality, unethical selling and insensitive leadership. Digital platforms make these failures visible. A disappointed customer can now become a public publisher.

Professionals who can restore confidence occupy a strategic space. Customer experience, product management, quality assurance, service operations, brand trust, complaint analytics, data protection, communication, relationship management and ethical sales all sit close to this question.

A serious professional should learn to read complaints as intelligence. Repeated complaints often reveal deeper organisational weakness: unclear ownership, weak process, poor training, conflicting incentives, bad technology, poor supervision or leadership distance from customer reality.

This question also matters because customer trust is rarely created by the customer-service department alone. Finance influences trust through billing accuracy and fairness. Technology influences trust through platform reliability and data security. Operations influences trust through delivery quality. HR influences trust through the competence and behaviour of employees. Legal and compliance influence trust through ethical conduct and responsible disclosure. Leadership influences trust through the promises it makes and the standards it enforces.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

The career test is direct. Does the career option help me build capability that improves customer trust, loyalty, experience, reliability or protection? Can I show evidence that my work reduces complaints, improves retention, strengthens service quality or restores confidence?

Customer confidence will remain a durable source of career demand because organisations cannot grow where customers no longer believe them.

## Question 3: Where are regulators increasing scrutiny?

Regulatory scrutiny reveals where society has decided that weak organisational behaviour carries unacceptable consequence. Regulation is therefore a career signal.

Scrutiny is rising across artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, data protection, financial services, climate reporting, consumer protection, health and safety, employment practices, corporate governance and public procurement. The EU AI Act, for example, entered into force in 2024 and applies progressively, with AI literacy and prohibited-practice provisions already part of the implementation sequence and broader obligations continuing through the staged rollout (European Commission, 2026). In the United States, the Securities and Exchange Commission's cybersecurity rules require listed companies to disclose material cybersecurity incidents within four business days after determining materiality (U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 2023).

The professional value lies in helping institutions behave credibly under scrutiny. This includes risk assessment, documentation, audit trails, compliance systems, training, control design, policy interpretation, reporting, monitoring and ethical decision-making.

This field is not limited to lawyers or compliance officers. Product managers

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

need regulatory awareness. HR leaders need labour, data and ethics literacy. Technologists need privacy, AI and cyber governance. Finance professionals need reporting discipline. Communications professionals need disclosure judgement. Executives need oversight capability.

Regulatory scrutiny rewards professionals who can combine interpretation with implementation. It is not enough to know that a rule exists. Institutions need people who can convert obligations into workflows, evidence, ownership, controls and disciplined conduct.

A career move becomes stronger when it builds capacity to help institutions meet rising standards. The key question is whether the professional is moving towards work that will require evidence, accountability and trusted judgement. Regulation creates work for people who can translate rules into operating discipline.

## Question 4: Where are systems failing?

Many organisations have talented people working inside weak systems. When systems fail, effort is wasted.

System failure appears through repeated delays, unclear ownership, poor handoffs, manual exposure, fragmented data, weak escalation, duplicated work, slow approvals, inconsistent service, failed strategy execution and avoidable errors. These failures are often normalised until they become expensive.

A professional with career intelligence looks beyond the incident. The visible failure is only the entry point. The deeper question concerns process, structure, incentives, capability, data, technology, leadership and governance.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

System-thinking professionals are needed in organisation design, business process improvement, operations management, enterprise architecture, project management, service delivery, change management, quality assurance, monitoring and evaluation, public-sector reform and performance management.

This question is especially important in emerging markets where institutional systems are often underdeveloped. Weak execution can limit infrastructure delivery, public service, education quality, health access, enterprise growth and regulatory effectiveness. Professionals who can improve systems therefore create both organisational and social value.

System failure also explains why many strategies do not become outcomes. Leaders may approve a strategy, but weak systems determine whether the strategy is executed. A business may invest in technology, but poor process discipline determines whether the technology creates value. A government may announce reform, but institutional coordination determines whether citizens experience improvement.

The career test is simple. Does this option teach me to diagnose why work fails repeatedly? Does it expose me to process, structure, data, governance and performance improvement? Will it help me become the kind of professional who redesigns the conditions of execution?

Where systems keep failing, system builders will remain needed.

## **Question 5: Where is technology changing the economics of work?**

Technology changes the price, speed, quality and distribution of work. Artificial intelligence has intensified this shift because it touches knowledge work.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

AI can draft, summarise, classify, code, search, analyse, generate options, automate workflows and support decisions. This changes the economics of routine cognitive work. Some tasks become cheaper. Some junior work becomes compressed. Some roles demand higher judgement earlier. Some professionals become more productive because technology amplifies their expertise. Others become exposed because their value was tied mainly to tasks that tools can now perform.

PwC's 2026 Global AI Jobs Barometer reports that skills in highly AI-exposed jobs are changing more than twice as fast as those in less exposed jobs. It also reports that new tasks in AI-exposed roles are more likely to require empathy, judgement and creativity (PricewaterhouseCoopers, 2026). The implication is clear. Technology is not only asking professionals to use tools. It is asking them to redefine value.

A professional should therefore study how technology affects their field. What tasks are being automated? What tasks now require verification? What new risks are created? What skills are becoming more important? What forms of judgement are harder to replace? What evidence of productivity will employers expect?

The strongest response is not fear. It is capability renewal. Professionals should combine digital fluency with domain understanding, ethical judgement, data literacy, process redesign and outcome accountability.

The early-career implication is particularly important. Some routine tasks that once helped junior professionals learn may be automated, accelerated or redesigned. This means early-career professionals may need to develop judgement, communication, context awareness and problem-framing earlier. Employers will also need stronger apprenticeship models in AI-rich work environments.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

The career test is direct. Does this option place me where technology is creating new value rather than merely replacing routine work? Am I learning to use technology responsibly to improve outcomes?

Technology will reward professionals who can convert tools into trusted performance.

## Question 6: Where is public trust weakening?

Trust has become a labour-market signal. Weak trust raises the cost of doing business, governing, leading and serving.

Public trust weakens when institutions appear dishonest, unfair, incompetent, exploitative, opaque or indifferent. Customers question brands. Citizens question governments. Employees question leaders. Investors question governance. Communities question promises. Regulators question disclosures. Workers question whether institutions care about dignity, opportunity and fairness.

Edelman's 2025 Trust Barometer frames the current period as one marked by grievance and institutional scepticism, with business, government, media and civil society under pressure to work together on trust, growth and fairness (Edelman, 2025).

This creates career demand for professionals who can help institutions become credible. Trust-building work includes governance, communication, ethics, stakeholder engagement, quality assurance, transparency, responsible AI, data protection, sustainability, employee relations, public policy, compliance and institutional reform.

Trust is not built by messaging alone. It depends on behaviour, consistency and evidence. A professional who wants to work in trust-sensitive areas must develop reliability, discretion, ethical judgement and stakeholder awareness.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

This question also matters for leaders. Employees interpret trust through the fairness of decisions, the consistency of communication, the quality of supervision and the seriousness with which leaders treat promises. Customers interpret trust through product reliability, issue resolution and respect. Regulators interpret trust through documentation, disclosure and compliance. Investors interpret trust through governance and performance discipline.

The career test is this: Does this move position me to improve institutional credibility? Will it help me build competence in transparency, accountability, stakeholder confidence, responsible conduct or quality of delivery?

Where trust is weakening, reliable professionals become strategically important.

## **Question 7: Where is poor capability creating repeated execution failure?**

Many organisations do not lack ambition. They lack capability.

Capability gaps appear through poorly implemented strategies, weak supervision, inconsistent performance, failed change initiatives, low engagement, project overruns, repeated customer complaints, weak compliance, underused technology and poor leadership pipelines.

Gallup's 2026 State of the Global Workplace reports that global employee engagement fell to 20 per cent in 2025, with low engagement costing the world economy an estimated US\$10 trillion in lost productivity (Gallup, 2026). This is a capability signal. It points to managerial weakness, work-system friction, poor employee connection and leadership challenges.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

Capability is broader than skill. It includes knowledge, judgement, systems, tools, leadership, culture, incentives, resources and resilience. Professionals who can build capability therefore occupy a durable space. This includes learning and development, workforce planning, leadership development, organisation design, performance management, coaching, change management, knowledge management and talent analytics.

The professional who can improve the performance of others becomes a multiplier. Such a professional helps institutions move from intention to execution.

Capability-building work should not be reduced to training events. Training is only one part of capability formation. Institutions also need role clarity, performance standards, managerial discipline, learning transfer, feedback systems, coaching, knowledge sharing, technology enablement and accountability structures.

The career test is important. Does this option help me build the ability to strengthen people, teams, systems and performance? Can I prove that my work improves capability, not merely activity?

Where poor capability keeps producing failure, capability builders will remain needed.

## **Question 8: Where are nations making long-term investments?**

National investment reveals future demand. Governments and major institutions do not commit long-term capital to areas they consider irrelevant.

Across the world, investment attention is moving towards energy security, clean energy, digital infrastructure, AI capability, healthcare, education, food

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

security, logistics, advanced manufacturing, defence, regional trade, climate adaptation and infrastructure delivery. These are not merely sector interests. They are national capability priorities.

The International Energy Agency's World Energy Employment 2025 reports that global energy employment reached 76 million people in 2024 and highlights the skilled-labour implications of the global energy buildout (International Energy Agency, 2025). The World Bank's June 2026 Global Economic Prospects places the global economy under pressure, with growth projected to slow to 2.5 per cent in 2026, increasing the importance of productivity, investment quality and institutional capacity (World Bank, 2026).

Professionals should study where capital is flowing. Energy transition needs engineers, technicians, financiers, safety specialists, project managers, regulators, procurement professionals and community engagement experts. Digital infrastructure needs cybersecurity, data governance, product management, cloud, AI governance and public policy. Healthcare needs clinicians, administrators, health informatics, supply-chain professionals and workforce planners. Education needs teachers, TVET instructors, curriculum designers, assessment specialists and learning technologists.

The career test is strategic. Does this move align my capability with long-term investment? Is the field receiving policy attention, capital allocation and institutional commitment? Can I build a role in the ecosystem surrounding that investment?

Where nations invest for the long term, professional opportunity develops around delivery, governance and capability.

# The Nine Questions of the Career Intelligence Protocol

## Question 9: Where are shortages persistent across countries and sectors?

Persistent shortages are stronger signals than temporary hiring excitement. A role may trend briefly because of hype. A shortage becomes more meaningful when it appears across countries, sectors and reports over time.

Persistent shortages are visible in AI and data, cybersecurity, healthcare, education, technical trades, energy systems, infrastructure delivery, advanced manufacturing, logistics, project management, finance and governance, and human capital strategy. These fields differ in compensation and context, but they share one feature: competent people are not produced instantly.

ManpowerGroup's 2026 survey reports that 72 per cent of employers across 41 countries struggle to find the talent they need (ManpowerGroup, 2026). WHO estimates a projected shortfall of 11 million health workers by 2030, mostly in low- and lower-middle-income countries (World Health Organization, n.d.). Shortage evidence of this kind should guide professionals towards capability that is scarce, mature and consequential.

The best shortages to pursue are not simply those with many vacancies. The strongest shortages combine four conditions: credible evidence, structural demand, economic or institutional value, and capability that takes time to mature.

The career test is decisive. Is this field short of people only because it is fashionable, or because the capability is difficult to build? Does the shortage appear across sectors or geographies? Does the work carry consequence? Will deeper competence increase my bargaining power over time?

Where shortage is durable and capability maturity is high, career advantage strengthens.

# How to Use the Protocol Before Choosing a Career Move

The Career Intelligence Protocol is most useful when applied before a major career decision. It helps the professional slow down the decision long enough to test the quality of the move.

## Choosing a certification

Before choosing a certification, the professional should ask what institutional problem the certification helps solve. A certification in project management, cybersecurity, data analytics, HR, finance, risk, audit, procurement, sustainability, coaching or AI may be useful. Its usefulness depends on the connection between the credential, the capability it develops and the demand it serves.

A certificate should not be selected because it is popular. It should be selected because it strengthens evidence of competence in a field where demand is real.

The protocol helps the professional ask which of the nine questions the certification answers. Does it help reduce loss, improve trust, manage scrutiny, fix systems, apply technology, build capability, align with investment or enter a persistent shortage field?

The certification decision should also include a practice plan. The professional should ask how the learning will be applied, what project will prove the competence, who will validate the skill and what evidence will be added to the professional portfolio.

## Choosing postgraduate study

Postgraduate study requires serious investment of time and money. The decision should be tested carefully.

A master's degree or doctoral programme becomes stronger when it builds depth in a field connected to institutional consequence. This may include

# How to Use the Protocol Before Choosing a Career Move

public policy, data science, organisational psychology, finance, health administration, energy systems, education, cybersecurity, development studies, project management, governance, sustainability or technology management.

The protocol helps the professional avoid academic prestige without labour-market relevance. It asks whether the programme builds applied judgement, domain knowledge, research discipline, analytical capability and credibility in a field where problems are persistent.

The professional should also examine the programme's ecosystem. Does it provide applied projects, credible faculty, industry connection, research depth, professional networks and recognised standards? Does it improve the professional's ability to solve problems that institutions value?

## Changing jobs

A job change should be evaluated beyond salary and title. The professional should ask what kind of exposure the role provides.

Will the role place the professional closer to value, customers, regulation, systems, technology, trust, capability, investment or shortage? Will it develop judgement? Will it provide measurable outcomes? Will it expose the professional to stronger standards, better leadership, more complex problems or more credible proof?

A higher salary can be justified. A larger title can be useful. The stronger question is whether the job improves long-term professional relevance.

A professional should also examine the quality of the institution. Some roles offer learning because the organisation has stronger systems, higher standards, better leadership and greater complexity. Some roles offer titles without growth. Career intelligence requires attention to the learning environment, not only the offer letter.

# How to Use the Protocol Before Choosing a Career Move

## Moving into consulting

Consulting should be built around problems clients recognise and are willing to pay to solve. The protocol can help a consultant identify a defensible niche.

A consultant should ask where organisations are losing money, failing execution, struggling with capability, facing regulatory scrutiny, losing customers, mishandling technology, weakening trust or entering sectors shaped by investment. Strong consulting propositions sit close to these pressures.

Consulting becomes weak when it is built around generic interest. It becomes stronger when it is built around a problem with urgency, value and evidence.

A consultant using the protocol should be able to state the client problem clearly, describe the institutional consequence, show evidence that the problem matters, explain the capability required and demonstrate a method for producing improvement.

## Considering migration

Migration decisions should be informed by more than income aspiration. The professional should assess credential portability, shortage evidence, regulatory requirements, licensing, language, cultural competence, sector demand and long-term mobility.

Healthcare, engineering, cybersecurity, education, technical trades, energy, data and project delivery may offer mobility in some geographies. The pathway may still require licensing, local experience, credential recognition or further study.

The protocol helps the professional ask whether the intended destination has persistent shortage, recognised demand and a credible path for converting existing competence into accepted capability.

# How to Use the Protocol Before Choosing a Career Move

Migration becomes stronger when it is planned around evidence. It becomes risky when it is built only around comparison, emotion or unverified success stories.

## Choosing a leadership pathway

Leadership should also be tested through career intelligence. Some professionals pursue leadership because it appears to be the natural next step. Leadership becomes meaningful when it increases the professional's ability to carry institutional responsibility.

A leadership pathway should expose the professional to strategy, people, finance, risk, governance, customers, performance and change. It should strengthen judgement, accountability and decision quality.

The protocol helps the professional ask whether leadership will place them closer to consequential problems or simply give them a larger title. Leadership without institutional consequence becomes ceremonial. Leadership with consequence requires maturity.

# Weak Signals in Career Decision-Making

A weak signal is an indicator that appears to show career opportunity but lacks sufficient evidence of durable value. Weak signals should not be ignored completely. They may point to something useful. They should, however, be tested before a professional invests heavily in them.

## Title glamour

Some titles sound powerful because they use modern language. Strategy, innovation, transformation, digital, AI, experience, growth and impact are valuable words when attached to real work. They can also become decorative.

A professional should examine the work beneath the title. What decisions will the role influence? What outcomes will it own? What capability will it deepen? What problem will it solve? Who trusts the role? What evidence will the professional produce?

The title is not the career. The contribution is the career.

## Credential accumulation

Credentials can strengthen credibility. They can also become substitutes for practice. A professional may collect certificates without developing judgement. Employers and clients increasingly look for evidence of application.

The stronger credential strategy is selective. Choose credentials that deepen capability in fields of consequence. Then attach the credential to projects, outputs, portfolios, case evidence and measurable results.

A credential should answer three questions. What competence does it certify? What institutional problem does that competence address? What proof will show that the competence has moved from learning into performance?

# Weak Signals in Career Decision-Making

## Salary gossip

Salary information can be useful. It becomes dangerous when detached from context. High compensation in a field may reflect geography, seniority, scarcity, risk, regulatory burden, technical depth, revenue ownership or unusually strong firms. A professional should understand the conditions behind the reward before making a move.

The better question is compensation-adjusted capability. What competence produces the reward? How long does it take to build? Is the demand durable? Can I realistically enter and mature in that field?

A salary figure without context can mislead. Career intelligence requires the professional to study the capability, market, geography and institutional value behind the reward.

## Viral job lists

Job lists can introduce useful possibilities. They should not replace analysis. A list that names future jobs may ignore geography, access, training pathways, compensation, licensing, institutional demand and the maturity of the field.

The protocol turns a list into an investigation. Each listed role should be tested against the nine questions.

The professional should also ask who produced the list and why. Some job lists are research-based. Some are marketing tools. Some are content products designed to attract attention. Serious professionals should not outsource career judgement to a list.

# Weak Signals in Career Decision-Making

## Passion without market discipline

Passion gives energy. Market discipline gives direction. The strongest professional path often emerges where personal interest meets institutional need and capability maturity.

A professional should not abandon interest. Interest should be refined into usefulness.

A person who loves education can build capability in TVET, workforce development, curriculum design, assessment or learning technology. A person who loves technology can build capability in cybersecurity, AI governance, data analytics or product delivery. A person who loves people can build capability in leadership development, organisation design, coaching, employee relations or workforce planning.

Interest becomes stronger when it is connected to a problem that matters.

## Prestige without demand

Prestige can open doors. It can also conceal weak demand. A prestigious institution, title or qualification may carry social recognition without strong connection to current labour-market need.

The professional should ask whether the prestige improves access to consequential work. Does it create stronger networks? Does it produce applied capability? Does it improve credibility in a field of demand? Does it help the professional enter a problem space where contribution matters?

Prestige is useful when it serves competence. It becomes risky when it replaces competence.

# The Career Intelligence Scorecard

The Career Intelligence Scorecard helps professionals test a career option before investing in it.

The scorecard should be used for roles, certifications, postgraduate programmes, career transitions, consulting niches, migration plans, leadership pathways and specialisation choices. It can also be used by HR leaders, career advisers, professional bodies and learning institutions to assess the relevance of programmes and career-development interventions.

## Figure 2. Career Intelligence Scorecard

Rate each item from 1 to 5.

- 1 = Weak evidence
- 2 = Limited evidence
- 3 = Moderate evidence
- 4 = Strong evidence
- 5 = Very strong evidence

Diagnostic question	Score
1. Does this option sit close to where organisations are losing money or seeking measurable value?	/5
2. Does it help improve customer trust, service quality, loyalty or confidence?	/5
3. Is it connected to rising regulation, governance, accountability or scrutiny?	/5
4. Does it prepare me to fix systems, processes, execution gaps or operational failure?	/5
5. Is technology changing the economics of this work in a way that creates new value for capable professionals?	/5
6. Does this field help institutions rebuild or protect public trust?	/5

# The Career Intelligence Scorecard

Diagnostic question	Score
7. Does it respond to capability gaps that repeatedly weaken execution?	/5
8. Is it aligned with long-term national, sectoral or institutional investment?	/5
9. Is there evidence of persistent shortage across countries, sectors or credible labour-market sources?	/5
10. Does the capability required take time to mature and therefore resist quick commoditisation?	/5
11. Can I build credible proof of contribution in this field?	/5
12. Does this option align with my strengths, values, learning appetite and desired professional identity?	/5

## Interpreting the score

Total score	Interpretation
50–60	Strong career-intelligence case. The option appears well connected to demand, consequence and capability maturity.
40–49	Promising case. Proceed with clearer evidence, stronger positioning and defined proof of competence.
30–39	Mixed case. The option may be useful, but the professional should identify weak areas before investing heavily.
20–29	Weak case. The option may be driven by attraction rather than evidence. More investigation is required.
Below 20	High caution. The option appears poorly connected to durable career value.

# The Career Intelligence Scorecard

The scorecard should not be used mechanically. It is a thinking tool. Its purpose is to help professionals see whether a career choice rests on evidence or excitement.

## Applying the scorecard by career stage

Early-career professionals should use the scorecard to choose learning pathways, entry roles, internships, certifications and exposure opportunities. At this stage, the aim is not only immediate income. It is the development of judgement, discipline, credibility and evidence.

Mid-career professionals should use the scorecard to evaluate specialisation, leadership movement, sector change and postgraduate study. At this stage, the danger is drift. A professional may continue in a field without examining whether the field is still connected to institutional demand.

Senior professionals should use the scorecard to evaluate board readiness, executive relevance, advisory positioning, public-sector contribution, consulting niches and legacy-building work. At this stage, the issue is not only employability. It is institutional usefulness.

Consultants should use the scorecard to sharpen their value proposition. A consulting niche becomes stronger when it is connected to loss, trust, scrutiny, system failure, technology change, capability gaps, investment or persistent shortage.

Learning institutions should use the scorecard to test curriculum relevance. Programmes should not be designed only around what is easy to teach. They should be designed around what society, employers and institutions urgently need people to do.

# Implication for Professionals, Employers, Professional Bodies and Learning Institutions

## Implications for professionals

Professionals must become more evidence-led. Career movement should be informed by labour-market signals, institutional need and capability maturity. The modern professional should track sector reports, employer behaviour, regulatory trends, technology shifts, customer problems, investment flows and shortage evidence.

Professionals should also build proof. Career claims are stronger when supported by projects, metrics, portfolios, case studies, recommendations, systems improved, risks reduced, customers retained, teams developed or capabilities strengthened.

The professional who can show contribution will stand stronger than the professional who only lists responsibilities.

Professionals must also develop an interpretation habit. Once every quarter, a serious professional should review the major changes affecting their field. What regulations have changed? What technologies are being adopted? What problems are employers discussing? What capabilities are scarce? What customer issues are visible? What reports are pointing to future demand? What evidence has the professional gathered to show relevance?

Career intelligence should become a discipline, not an occasional reaction to job loss, frustration or ambition.

# Implication for Professionals, Employers, Professional Bodies and Learning Institutions

## Implications for employers

Employers need to communicate demand more intelligently. Job descriptions should show the institutional problem a role is expected to solve. Recruitment should evaluate capability, judgement, learning agility, ethical reliability and evidence of contribution.

Employers should also redesign early-career development. AI and automation may compress some routine apprenticeship tasks. Organisations will need better systems for helping junior professionals build judgement, context and responsibility earlier.

Capability development should be tied to business problems. Training that does not improve performance, trust, execution or resilience will be harder to defend.

Employers also have a responsibility to create clearer career architectures. Workers make better decisions when they can see how roles connect to capability, value and progression. Organisations benefit when people understand what competence is expected, how it is developed and how contribution is recognised.

## Implications for professional bodies

Professional bodies occupy a central role in career intelligence. They define standards, validate competence, support continuing development and help the market distinguish between awareness and trusted practice.

Professional bodies should develop credentials and learning pathways around institutional burdens. These include governance, productivity, digital transformation, responsible AI, public-sector effectiveness, leadership capability, workforce planning, cybersecurity, compliance, infrastructure

# Implication for Professionals, Employers, Professional Bodies and Learning Institutions

delivery, education quality and sustainability.

The future of professional recognition will depend on evidence of applied capability.

Professional bodies should also help members interpret the labour market. This may require annual career-intelligence reports, practice-demand briefs, sector capability maps, continuing professional development pathways, ethics guidance, certification refresh cycles and stronger assessment of applied competence.

## Implications for learning institutions

Universities, business schools, training providers and academies need to connect learning more directly to institutional need. Programmes should help learners interpret labour-market signals, build applied competence, solve real problems and document contribution.

Career services should move beyond CV preparation and interview coaching. They should help learners ask stronger questions about demand, scarcity, geography, investment and capability maturity.

Learning institutions that prepare people for consequential work will remain valuable.

This requires curriculum renewal. It also requires applied projects, employer engagement, digital fluency, work-integrated learning, assessment of practical competence and stronger links between theory and institutional problems.

# Implication for Professionals, Employers, Professional Bodies and Learning Institutions

## Implications for policymakers

Policymakers influence the conditions under which careers develop. Education policy, industrial policy, migration policy, labour regulation, digital infrastructure, public-sector reform, enterprise support and investment priorities all shape career opportunity.

A country that wants stronger professional capability must improve the signals that connect learning to work. Labour-market information systems, sector skills councils, credible certification systems, apprenticeship pathways, national qualifications frameworks and public-private collaboration can help professionals make better decisions.

Career intelligence is not only an individual responsibility. It is also an institutional development issue.

# The Professional Who Reads Before Moving

The labour market is full of movement. People are changing roles, acquiring certificates, entering new fields, adopting digital tools, considering migration, starting consulting practices and seeking relevance in a changing world.

Movement is not the same as positioning.

The strongest professionals will be those who read before moving. They will study where organisations are losing money, where customers are losing confidence, where regulators are increasing scrutiny, where systems are failing, where technology is changing the economics of work, where public trust is weakening, where poor capability is creating repeated execution failure, where nations are making long-term investments and where shortages persist across countries and sectors.

These questions create better career intelligence than casual interest, title attraction or social-media job trends.

A career becomes stronger when capability is built where consequence is real. It becomes more resilient when the professional can show evidence of usefulness. It becomes more trusted when competence is joined to judgement, ethics and disciplined execution.

The future will not reward every visible professional equally. It will reward those whose capability can be trusted where institutions cannot afford failure. The professional task is to read the signals, test the move, build the capability and prove the contribution.

That is the discipline of career intelligence.

## References & Academic Base

- Edelman. (2025). 2025 Edelman Trust Barometer: Trust and the crisis of grievance. Edelman.
- European Commission. (2026). AI Act: Timeline for the implementation of the EU AI Act. European Commission.
- Gallup. (2026). State of the global workplace 2026. Gallup.
- IBM Security. (2025). Cost of a data breach report 2025. IBM.
- International Energy Agency. (2025). World energy employment 2025. IEA.
- International Labour Organization. (2026). Employment and social trends 2026. ILO.
- ManpowerGroup. (2026). 2026 global talent shortage survey. ManpowerGroup.
- PricewaterhouseCoopers. (2026). Global AI jobs barometer 2026. PwC.
- U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. (2023). Cybersecurity risk management, strategy, governance, and incident disclosure. SEC.
- World Bank. (2026). Global economic prospects, June 2026. World Bank.
- World Economic Forum. (2025). The future of jobs report 2025. World Economic Forum.
- World Health Organization. (n.d.). Health workforce. WHO.

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Dr. Olumuyiwa A. Oludayo, MCIPM, FITD, is Principal Consultant at Nathan Leadgate. He advises organisations on human resources management, leadership development, organisational effectiveness, performance management, capability development, value creation models and governance-facing people systems.

His work translates complex workforce and institutional issues into teachable, usable and decision-oriented tools for leaders, professionals, boards and organisations. His consulting orientation is evidence-led, diagnostic-driven and judgment-centred, with emphasis on decision quality, execution discipline, risk reduction, institutional credibility and sustainable performance.

He is committed to adding value to people and organisations.

## PROFESSIONAL FOCUS

Corporate Planning and Strategy

Human Resources

Leadership Consulting


Organisational Management


Personal and Professional Development

Value Creation Models

## CONTACT

@ [muyiwa@nathanleadgate.com](mailto:muyiwa@nathanleadgate.com)

 <https://www.muyiwaoludayo.com>

 <https://www.nathanleadgate.com>

Published by Nathan Leadgate

